1 Ford Greene California State Bar No. 107601 2 HUB LAW OFFICES 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard 3 San Anselmo, California 94960-1949 4 Attorney for Defendants GERALD ARMSTRONG and THE 5 GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION 6 7 8 9 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL,) 10 11 religious corporation, 12 Plaintiff, 13 VS.

AUG 1 7 1994

HOWARD HANSON MARIN COUNTY CLERK 'C HARDING DEP

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED

FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN

AUG 1 8 1994

a California not-for-profit

No. 157 680 HUB LAW OFFICES

DECLARATION OF FORD GREENE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS AND FOR MONETARY SANCTIONS

GERALD ARMSTRONG; MICHAEL WALTON; THE GERALD ARMSTRONG CORPORATION, a California for-profit corporation; DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Date: 9/2/94 Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept: Referee Benz Trial Date: 9/29/94

FORD GREENE declares:

- I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the Courts of the State of California and am the attorney of record for Gerald Armstrong, defendant and Cross-Complainant herein.
- On March 4, I caused to be served on plaintiff Church of Scientology International Defendant Gerald Armstrong's First Set of Requests for Admission.
- On or about April 8, 1994, plaintiff served its responses thereto. Certain of said responses contain objections, or are otherwise incomplete, evasive or inadequate as set forth in

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Page 2.

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960 (415) 258-0360 the Separate Statement of Requests and Responses in Dispute, served and filed herewith. Thereafter, I met and conferred with counsel for CSI who provided supplemental responses which, in large part, supplemented very little information in addition to that already provided.

- 4. Plaintiff should be ordered to admit or deny each of the requests in dispute because the information sought thereby is relevant to the subject matter of this action, is not privileged or otherwise exempt from discovery, and for the reasons stated in said Separate Statement of Requests and Responses in Dispute, as well as in the accompanying memorandum of points and authorities.
- 5. I am informed that each of the objections were prepared for plaintiff by its attorneys, Laurie J. Bartilson of Bowles and Moxon, and by Andrew Wilson of Wilson, Ryan and Campolongo, and that each of said objections were made upon the advice of said attorneys.
- 6. Plaintiff's refusal to answer said requests for admissions and the advice of the aforesaid attorneys was without substantial justification because each request is relevant to the subject matter of this lawsuit, because said requests have not been propounded to harass, oppress or annoy, and because each request is clear and unambiguous.
- 7. Prior to the filing of the motion to compel further responses, I made an attempt to resolve with Laurie J. Bartilson the disputed issues arising from the objections by writing her a letter which I faxed to her on May 27th to which I attached the substance of the Separate Statement of Requests and Responses in Dispute. Thereafter, I faxed her another letter on May 31, 1994

1

10

9

13 14

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

2728

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, CA 94960

(415) 258-0360

wherein I advised her that if I did not hear from her I would have to file the instant motion. Then, I telephoned Ms. Bartilson and because she was not available, I left a detailed message with her secretary to call me. Said attempts were unsuccessful and all of the issues remain unresolved and in dispute. Subsequent to said efforts I received Supplemental Responses which have added very little, if anything of substance, to the original responses.

8. As a result of the refusal to answer, defendant has incurred and will incur reasonable costs and attorneys fees in connection with this motion and the hearing thereon which will be totaled in a subsequent declaration.

Under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California I hereby declare that the foregoing is true and correct according to my first-hand knowledge, except those matters stated to be on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Executed on August 17, 1994, at San Anselmo, California

FORD GREENE